arXiv:1607.06994v1 [math.CA] 24 Jul 2016

Problems with mixed boundary conditions in Banach spaces

Dionicio Pastor Dallos Santos*

Department of Mathematics, IME-USP, Cidade Universitária,
CEP 05508-090, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Abstract

Using Leray-Schauder degree or degree for α-condensing maps we obtain the existence of at least one solution for the boundary value problem of the type

$$\begin{cases} (\varphi(u'))' = f(t, u, u') \\ u(T) = 0 = u'(0), \end{cases}$$

where $\varphi : X \to X$ is a homeomorphism with reverse Lipschitz such that $\varphi(0) = 0$, $f : [0, T] \times X \times X \to X$ is a continuous function, T a positive real number and X is a real Banach space.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010). 34B15; 47H08; 47H11.
Key words: boundary value problem, Leray-Schauder degree, degree for α-condensing maps, measure of noncompactness.

1 Introduction

The purpose of this article is to obtain some existence results for the nonlinear boundary value problem of the form

$$\begin{cases} (\varphi(u'))' = f(t, u, u') \\ u(T) = 0 = u'(0), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\varphi : X \to X$ is a homeomorphism such that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and φ^{-1} is Lipschitz, $f : [0, T] \times X \times X \to X$ is a continuous function, T a positive real number, and X is a real Banach space. We call *solution* of this problem any function $u : [0, T] \to X$ of

*Email: dionicio@ime.usp.br

class C^1 such that the function $t \mapsto \varphi(u'(t))$ is continuously differentiable, satisfying the boundary conditions and $(\varphi(u'(t)))' = f(t, u(t), u'(t))$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.

The existence of solutions for second-order boundary value problems has been studied by many authors using various methods (see [3, 6, 8, 9, 10])

In particular, the authors in [3] have studied the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} u'' = f(t, u, u') \\ au(0) - bu'(0) = u_0, \quad cu(1) + du'(1) = u_1, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where a, b, c, d and $ad + bc > 0$. They obtained the existence of solutions of (1.2) using Darbo fixed point theorem and properties of the measure of noncompactness.

Recently, W.-X. Zhou and J. Peng [10] have studied the following boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = f(t, u) \\ u(0) = 0 = u(1) \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

where $f : [0, 1] \times X \to X$ is a continuous function and X is a Banach space. They obtained the existence of solutions of (1.3), where the main tools used in the study are Sadovskii fixed point theorem and precise computation of measure of noncompactess.

Inspired by these results, the main aim of this paper is to study the existence of at least one solution for the boundary value problem (1.1) using Leray-Schauder degree or degree for α-condensing maps. For this, we reduce the nonlinear boundary value problem to some fixed points problem. Next, we shall essentially consider two types of regularity assumptions for $f(t, x, y)$. In Theorem 4.1 we suppose that f is completely continuous, which allows us to prove that the associated fixed point operator is completely continuous required by a Leray-Schauder approach. In Theorem 4.2 we only assume some regularity conditions expresed in terms of the measure of noncompactness, which allows us to apply the methods of topological degree theory for α-condensing maps.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we establish the notation, terminology, and various lemmas which will be used throughout this paper. Section 3, we formulate the fixed point operator equivalent to the problem (1.1). Section 4, we give main results in this paper. Section 5, we study the existence of at least one solution for (1.1) in Hilbert spaces. For these results, we adapt the ideas of [1], [2] and [7] to the present situation.

2 Notations and preliminary results

We first introduce some notation. For fixed T, we denote the usual norm in $L^1 = L^1([0, T], X)$ for $\|\cdot\|_{L^1}$. For $C = C([0, T], X)$ we indicate the Banach space of

all continuous functions from $[0,T]$ into X witch the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ and for $C^1 = C^1([0,T],X)$ we designate the Banach space of continuously differentiable functions from $[0,T]$ into X endowed with the usual norm $\|u\|_1 = \max\{\|u\|_\infty,\ \|u'\|_\infty\}$.

We introduce the following applications:

the *Nemytskii operator* $N_f : C^1 \to C$,

$$N_f(u)(t) = f(t, u(t), u'(t)),$$

the *integration operator* $H : C \to C^1$,

$$H(u)(t) = \int_0^t u(s)ds,$$

the continuous linear application $K : C \to C^1$,

$$K(u)(t) = -\int_t^T u(s)ds.$$

Throughout this paper, we denote $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ a real Banach space and $I = [0,T]$. For $A \subseteq C^1$, we use the notation;

$$\begin{gathered} A(t) = \{u(t) : u \in A\}, \\ A(I) = \{u(t) : u \in A,\ t \in I\}, \\ A'(t) = \{u'(t) : u \in A\}, \\ A' = \{u' : u \in A\}, \\ A'(I) = \{u'(t) : u \in A,\ t \in I\}. \end{gathered}$$

Definition 2.1. Let X be a Banach space and let M_X be the family of bounded subsets of X. The Kuratowski measure of noncompactness is the map $\alpha : M_X \to [0,\infty)$ defined for

$\alpha(B) = \inf[d > 0 : B$ admits a finite cover by sets of diameter $\leq d]$; here $B \in M_X$.

Properties:

(a) $\alpha(B) = 0$ iff $\overline{B}$ is compact.

(b) $S \subset B$ then $\alpha(S) \leq \alpha(B)$.

(c) $\alpha(\overline{B}) = \alpha(B)$.

(d) $\alpha(B \cup S) = \max\{\alpha(B), \alpha(S)\}$.

(e) $\alpha(\lambda B) = |\lambda|\,\alpha(B)$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda B = \{\lambda b : b \in B\}$.

(f) $\alpha(B + S) \leq \alpha(B) + \alpha(S)$, where $B + S = \{b + s : b \in B, s \in S\}$.

(g) $\alpha(\overline{conv}(B)) = \alpha(B)$.

The details of α and its properties can be found in [4].

Definition 2.2. (see [5]). Assume that $D \subset X$ the mapping $A : D \to X$ is said to be a condensing operator if A is continuous, bounded (sends bounded sets into bounded sets), and for any nonrelatively compact and bounded set $S \subset D$,

$$\alpha(A(S)) < \alpha(S).$$

The following lemmas are of great importance in the proof of our main results. The proofs can be found in [5].

In the following, we denote α_c and α_1 by the noncompactness measure in C and C^1, respectively.

Lemma 2.3. *Let S be a bounded subset of real numbers and B a bounded subset of X. Then*

$$\alpha(SB) = \left(\sup_{t\in S} |t|\right) \alpha(B),$$

where $SB = \{sb : s \in S,\ b \in B\}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $A,\ B$ be bounded subsets of Banach spaces X and Y respectively with*

$$\|(x, y)\| = \max\{\|x\|,\ \|y\|\}.$$

Then

$$\alpha(A \times B) = \max\{\alpha(A),\ \alpha(B)\}.$$

Lemma 2.5. *If $H \subset C$ is bounded and equicontinuous, then we have the following:*

(1) $\alpha_c(H) = \alpha(H(I))$.

(2) $\alpha(H(I)) = \max_I \alpha(H(t))$.

Lemma 2.6. *If H is a bounded set in C^1, then*

(ii) $\alpha_1(H) \geq \alpha(H(I))$.

(ii) $2\alpha_1(H) \geq \alpha(H'(I))$.

Lemma 2.7. *If H is a bounded set in C^1 and H' equicontinuous, then*

$$\alpha_1(H) = \max\left\{\max_I \alpha(H(t)),\ \max_I \alpha(H'(t))\right\}.$$

3 Fixed point formulations

Let us consider the operator

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 : C^1 &\to C^1, \\ u &\mapsto K\left(\varphi^{-1}\left[H(N_f(u))\right]\right). \end{aligned}$$

Here φ^{-1} is understood as the operator $\varphi^{-1} : C \to C$ defined for $\varphi^{-1}(v)(t) = \varphi^{-1}(v(t))$. It is clear that φ^{-1} is continuous and sends bounded sets into bounded sets.

Lemma 3.1. *$u \in C^1$ is a solution of (1.1) if and only if u is a fixed point of the operator M_1.*

Proof. Let u be a solution of (1.1). This implies that

$$(\varphi(u'))' = f(t, u, u'), \quad u(T) = 0 = u'(0).$$

Integrating of 0 to t and using the fact that $u'(0) = 0$, we deduce that

$$\varphi(u'(t)) = H(N_f(u))(t).$$

Applying φ^{-1} and K to both of its members and using that $u(T) = 0$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= K\left(\varphi^{-1}\left[H(N_f(u))\right]\right)(t) \\ &= M_1(u)(t) \quad (t \in [0, T]\,. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, since, by definition of the mapping M_1,

$$K\left(\varphi^{-1}\left[H(N_f(u))\right]\right)(T) = 0, \quad \varphi^{-1}\left[H(N_f(u))\right](0) = 0,$$

it is a simple matter to see that if u is such that $u = M_1(u)$ then u is a solution to (1.1). □

Using the theorem of Arzelà-Ascoli we show that the operator M_1 is completely continuous.

Lemma 3.2. *If f is completely continuous, then the operator $M_1 : C^1 \longrightarrow C^1$ is completely continuous.*

Proof. Let $\Lambda \subset C^1$ be a bounded set. Then, if $u \in \Lambda$, there exists a constant $\rho > 0$ such that

$$\|u\|_1 \leq \rho. \tag{3.4}$$

Next, we show that $\overline{M_1(\Lambda)} \subset C^1$ is a compact set. Let $(v_n)_n$ be a sequence in $M_1(\Lambda)$, and let $(u_n)_n$ be a sequence in Λ such that $v_n = M_1(u_n)$. Using (3.4), we have that there exists a constant $W > 0$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\|N_f(u_n)\|_\infty \leq W,$$

which implies that

$$\|H(N_f(u_n))\|_\infty \leq WT.$$

Hence the sequence $(H(N_f(u_n)))_n$ is bounded in C. Moreover, for $t, t_1 \in [0,T]$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|H(N_f(u_n))(t) - H(N_f(u_n))(t_1)\| \\
&= \left\| \int_0^t f(s, u_n(s), u_n'(s))ds - \int_0^{t_1} f(s, u_n(s), u_n'(s))ds \right\| \\
&= \left\| \int_{t_1}^t f(s, u_n(s), u_n'(s))ds \right\| \\
&\leq W\,|t - t_1|\,,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $(H(N_f(u_n)))_n$ is equicontinuous.

On the other hand, for $t \in [0,T]$

$$B(t) = \{H(N_f(u_n))(t) : n \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
H(N_f(u_n))(t) &= \int_0^t N_f(u_n)(s)ds \\
&= \int_0^t f(s, u_n(s), u_n'(s))ds \\
&= t \lim_{m\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^m f(s_k, u_n(s_k), u_n'(s_k)) \frac{(s_k - s_{k-1})}{t}.
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling that the convex hull of a set $A \subseteq X$ is given by

$$conv(A) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i x_i : x_i \in A,\ \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R},\ \alpha_i \geq 0,\ \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i = 1 \right\},$$

it follows that

$$\textstyle\int_0^t f(s, u_n(s), u_n'(s))ds \in t\overline{conv}(\{f(s, u_n(s), u_n'(s)) : s \in [0,T],\ n \in \mathbb{N}\}),$$

which implies that

$$B(t) = \{H(N_f(u_n))(t) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset t\overline{conv}(\{f(s, u_n(s), u_n'(s)) : s \in [0,T],\ n \in \mathbb{N}\}).$$

Using the fact that $f : [0,T] \times X \times X \longrightarrow X$ is completely continuous, we deduce that $\alpha(B(t)) = 0$. Hence, $B(t)$ is a relatively compact set in X. Thus, by the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem there is a subsequence of $(H(N_f(u_n)))_n$, which we call $(H(N_f(u_{n_j})))_j$, which is convergent in C. Using the fact that $\varphi^{-1} : C \to C$ is continuous it follows from

$$M_1(u_{n_j})' = \varphi^{-1}\left[H(N_f(u_{n_j}))\right]$$

that the sequence $(M_1(u_{n_j})')_j$ is convergent in C and hence $(v_{n_j})_j = (M_1(u_{n_j}))_j$ is convergent in C^1. Finally, let $(v_n)_n$ be a sequence in $\overline{M_1(\Lambda)}$. Let $(z_n)_n \subseteq M_1(\Lambda)$ be such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|z_n - v_n\|_1 = 0.$$

Let $(z_{n_j})_j$ be a subsequence of $(z_n)_n$ such that converge to z. It follows that $z \in \overline{M_1(\Lambda)}$ and $(v_{n_j})_j$ converge to z. This concludes the proof. □

In order to apply Leray-Schauder degree to the operator M_1, we introduced a family of problems depending on a parameter λ. For, $\lambda \in [0,T]$, we consider the family of boundary value problems

$$\begin{cases} (\varphi(u'))' = \lambda f(t, u(t), u'(t) \\ u(T) = 0 = u'(0). \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

Notice that (3.5) coincide with (1.1) for $\lambda = 1$. So, for each $\lambda \in [0,1]$, the operator associated to 3.5 for Lemma 3.1 is the operator $M(\lambda, \cdot)$, where M is defined on $[0,1] \times C^1$ by

$$M(\lambda, u) = K\left(\varphi^{-1}\left[\lambda H(N_f(u))\right]\right).$$

Using the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 3.2 we show that the operator M is completely continuous. Moreover, using the same reasoning as above, the system (3.5) (see Lemma 3.1) is equivalent to the problem

$$u = M(\lambda, u). \tag{3.6}$$

4 Main results

In this section, we present and prove our main results.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a Banach space, and φ^{-1} a homeomorphism with Lipschitz constant k. Suppose that f is completely continuous and that there exist two numbers $c_0, c_1 \geq 0$ such that*

$$\|f(t,x,y)\| \leq c_0 + c_1 \|y\|, \quad \textit{for all} \quad (t,x,y) \in [0,T] \times X \times X.$$

Then problem (1.1) has at least one solution.

Proof. Let $(\lambda, u) \in [0,1] \times C^1$ be such that $M(\lambda, u) = u$. Using 3.6 we have that u is solution of (3.5), which implies that

$$u' = \varphi^{-1}\left[\lambda H(N_f(u))\right], \;\; u'(0) = 0 = u(T).$$

Using the fact that φ^{-1} is a homeomorphism with Lipschitz constant k, we deduce that

$$\|u'(t)\| \leq kc_0T + kc_1 \int_0^t \|u'(s)\| \, ds \quad (t \in [0,T]).$$

By Gronwall's Inequality, we have

$$\|u'(t)\| \leq kc_0Te^{\int_0^t kc_1 ds} \leq kc_0Te^{kc_1T} \quad (t \in [0,T]).$$

Hence, $\|u'\|_\infty \leq kc_0Te^{kc_1T} := \beta$. Because $u \in C^1$ is such that $u'(T) = 0$ we have that

$$\|u(t)\| \leq \int_t^T \|u'(s)\| \, ds \leq \int_0^T \|u'(s)\| \, ds \leq \beta T \quad (t \in [0,T]),$$

and hence

$$\|u\|_1 \leq R_1, \;\; \text{where } R_1 = \max\{\beta, \; \beta T\}.$$

Using that M is completely continuous we deduce that for each $\lambda \in [0,1]$, the Leray-Schauder degree $deg_{LS}(I - M(\lambda, \cdot), B_\rho(0), 0)$ is well-defined for any $\rho > R_1$, and by the homotopy invariance we have that

$$deg_{LS}(I - M(1,\cdot), B_\rho(0), 0) = deg_{LS}(I - M(0,\cdot), B_\rho(0), 0).$$

Hence, $deg_{LS}(I - M(1,\cdot), B_\rho(0), 0) \neq 0$. This, in turn, implies that there exists $u \in B_\rho(0)$ such that $M_1(u) = u$, which is a solution for (1.1). □

Theorem 4.2. *Let X be a Banach space, and φ^{-1} a homeomorphism with Lipschitz constant k. Assume that f is continuous and satisfies the following conditions.*

1. *There exist two numbers $c_0, c_1 \geq 0$ such that*

$$\|f(t,x,y)\| \leq c_0 + c_1 \|y\|, \quad \textit{for all} \quad (t,x,y) \in [0,1] \times X \times X.$$

2. *For all bounded subsets A, B in X,*

$$\alpha(f([0,1] \times A \times B)) \leq k_1 \max\{\alpha(A), \; \alpha(B)\}, \;\; \textit{where} \;\; 0 < k_1 < 1/2k.$$

Then problem (1.1) has at least one solution.

Proof. Observe that M_1 maps bounded sets into bounded sets. Furthermore, its continuity follows by the continuity of the operators which compose M_1. We show that the operator M_1 is condensing (α-condensing). In fact, for a bounded set Λ in C^1, there exists a constant $L_1 > 0$ such that

$$\|N_f(u)\|_\infty \leq L_1, \text{ for all } u \in \Lambda.$$

For $t, t_1 \in [0,1]$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|(M_1u)'(t) - (M_1u)'(t_1)\right\| &= \left\|\varphi^{-1}\left[H(N_f(u))\right](t) - \varphi^{-1}\left[H(N_f(u_n))\right](t_1)\right\| \\ &\leq k\left\|H(N_f(u))(t) - H(N_f(u))(t_1)\right\| \\ &\leq k\left\|\int_{t_1}^{t} f(s,u(s),u'(s))ds\right\| \\ &\leq kL_1\left|t - t_1\right|,\end{aligned}$$

which means $(M_1\Lambda)'$ is equicontinuous. Applying Lemma 2.7 there exists $\tau \in [0,1]$ or $\omega \in [0,1]$ with

$$\alpha_1(M_1\Lambda) = \alpha((M_1\Lambda)(\tau))$$

or

$$\alpha_1(M_1\Lambda) = \alpha((M_1\Lambda)'(\omega)).$$

Let us consider the first case.

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1(M_1\Lambda) &= \alpha((M_1\Lambda)(\tau)) \\ &= \alpha\left(\left\{K\left(\varphi^{-1}\left[H(N_f(u))\right]\right)(\tau) : u \in \Lambda\right\}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Using the properties of α, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1(M_1\Lambda) &\leq \alpha\left(\left\{\int_\tau^1 \varphi^{-1}\left[H(N_f(u))(s)\right]ds : u \in \Lambda\right\}\right) \\ &\leq (1-\tau)\alpha\left(\overline{conv}\left\{\varphi^{-1}\left[H(N_f(u))(s)\right] : s \in [\tau,1],\ u \in \Lambda\right\}\right) \\ &\leq \alpha\left(\left\{\varphi^{-1}\left[H(N_f(u))(s)\right] : s \in [0,1],\ u \in \Lambda\right\}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that φ^{-1} is a homeomorphism with Lipschitz constant k, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1(M_1\Lambda) &\leq k\alpha\left(\left\{H(N_f(u))(s) : s \in [0,1],\ u \in \Lambda\right\}\right) \\ &\leq k\alpha\left(\left\{\int_0^s f(t,u(t),u'(t)) : s \in [0,1],\ u \in \Lambda\right\}\right) \\ &\leq k\alpha\left([0,1]\,\overline{conv}\left\{f(t,u(t),u'(t)) : t \in [0,1],\ u \in \Lambda\right\}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.3 and again the properties of α, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1(M_1\Lambda) &\leq k\alpha\left(\left\{f(t,u(t),u'(t)) : t\in[0,1]\,,\ u\in\Lambda\right\}\right)\\ &\leq k\alpha\left(f\left([0,1]\times\Lambda\left([0,1]\right)\times\Lambda'\left([0,1]\right)\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Using the assumption 2, we have that

$$\alpha_1\left(M_1\Lambda\right) \leq kk_1 \max\left\{\alpha\left(\Lambda([0,1])\right),\ \alpha\left(\Lambda'([0,1])\right)\right\}.$$

This implies, by Lemma 2.6

$$\alpha_1\left(M_1\Lambda\right) \leq 2kk_1\alpha_1(\Lambda).$$

Consider the alternative case. Proceeding as before, we obtain

$$\alpha_1(M_1\Lambda) = \alpha\left((M_1\Lambda)'(\omega)\right) \leq 2kk_1\alpha_1(\Lambda).$$

Therefore, in either case, we obtain

$$\alpha_1(M_1\Lambda) \leq 2kk_1\alpha_1(\Lambda).$$

By the assumption 2, we get $0 < 2kk_1 < 1$, therefore M_1 is α-condensing.

Let us consider the function

$$\widetilde{M} : [0,1]\times C^1 \to C^1, \quad (\lambda,u)\mapsto \lambda M_1(u).$$

Let $(\lambda,u)\in[0,1]\times C^1$ be such that $u = \widetilde{M}(\lambda,u)$. Using the fact that φ^{-1} is a homeomorphism with Lipschitz constant k and Gronwall's Inequality, we deduce that there exists a constant $r>0$ such that $\|u\|_1 < r$.

Finally, we show the existence of at least one solution of (1.1) using the homotopy invariance of the degree for α-condensing maps. Let B be bounded in C^1. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_1\left(\widetilde{M}\left([0,1]\times B\right)\right) &= \alpha_1\left(\widetilde{M}(\lambda,u) : \lambda\in[0,1]\,,\ u\in B\right)\\ &\leq 2kk_1\alpha_1\left(B\right).\end{aligned}$$

Then we have that for each $\lambda\in[0,1]$, the degree $deg_N(I-\widetilde{M}(\lambda,\cdot),B_r(0),0)$ is well-defined and, by the properties of that degree, that

$$deg_N(I-\widetilde{M}(1,\cdot),B_r(0),0) = deg_N(I-\widetilde{M}(0,\cdot),B_r(0),0) = 1.$$

Then, from the existence property of degree, there exists $u\in B_r(0)$ such that $u = \widetilde{M}(1,u) = M_1(u) = u$, which is a solution for (1.1). □

Remark 4.3. In [3], the nonlinear term $f(t,x,y)$ is bounded, in our result, the nonlinear term $f(t,x,y)$ may no more than a linear growth.

5 Boundary value problems in Hilbert spaces

Throughout this section, let $(X, \langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle)$ denote a real Hilbert space. Assume that $\varphi : X \to X$ satisfies the following conditions.

1. φ^{-1} is a homeomorphism with Lipschitz constant k.
2. For any $x, y \in X,\ x \neq y$,

$$\langle \varphi(x) - \varphi(y), x - y\rangle > 0.$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let $h \in C([0,T], \mathbb{R}^+)$ be such that*

$$\|f(t,x,y)\| \leq \langle f(t,x,y), x\rangle + h(t)$$

for all $(t,x,y) \in [0,T] \times X \times X$. If $(\lambda, u) \in [0,1] \times C^1$ is such that $M(\lambda, u) = u$, then there exists $R > 0$ such that $\|u\|_1 \leq R$.

Proof. Let $(\lambda, u) \in (0,1] \times C^1$ be such that $M(\lambda, u) = u$. Using 3.6 we have that u is solution of (3.5), which implies that

$$u' = \varphi^{-1}\left[\lambda H(N_f(u))\right],\ \ u'(0) = 0 = u(T),$$

where for all $t \in [0,T]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|\lambda H(N_f(u))(t)\| &\leq \int_0^T \left\|f(s,u(s),u'(s))\right\| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^T \left\langle f(s,u(s),u'(s)), u(s)\right\rangle ds + \int_0^T h(s)ds.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, because φ is a homeomorphism such that

$$\langle \varphi(y), y\rangle \geq 0$$

for all $y \in X$. Then

$$\langle \varphi(u'(t))), u'(t)\rangle \geq 0 \ \ (t \in [0,T]),$$

and hence

$$-\int_0^T \langle \varphi(u'(t)), u'(t)\rangle\, dt \leq 0.$$

Using the integration by parts formula and the boundary conditions, we deduce that

$$\int_0^T \langle (\varphi(u'(t)))', u(t)\rangle\, dt = -\int_0^T \langle \varphi(u'(t)), u'(t)\rangle\, dt \leq 0.$$

Since $\lambda \in (0,1]$ and u is solution of (3.5) we have that

$$\int_0^T \langle f(t, u(t), u'(t)), u(t)\rangle \, dt \leq 0.$$

Hence,

$$\|\varphi(u'(t))\| \leq \|h\|_{L^1}.$$

It follows that there exists $L > 0$ such that $\|u'\|_\infty \leq L$. Because $u \in C^1$ is such that $u(T) = 0$, we deduce that

$$\|u(t)\| \leq \int_t^T \|u'(s)\| \, ds \leq \int_0^T \|u'(s)\| \, ds \leq LT \quad (t \in [0, T]),$$

and hence

$$\|u\|_1 \leq R, \text{ where } R = \max\{L, LT\}.$$

Finally, if $u = M(0, u)$, then $u = 0$, so the proof is complete. □

Now we show the existence of at least one solution for problem (1.1) by means of Leray-Schauder degree.

Theorem 5.2. *Let f be completely continuous. Assume that f satisfies the conditions of Lemma 5.1. Then (1.1) has at least one solution.*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and u be a possible fixed point of $M(\lambda, \cdot)$. Then, using Lemma 5.1 we deduce that

$$\|u\|_1 \leq R, \text{ where } R = \max\{L, LT\}.$$

Therefore, if $\rho > R$, it follows from the homotopy invariance of Leray-Schauder degree that $deg_{LS}(I - M(\lambda, \cdot), B_\rho(0), 0)$ is independent of $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ so that, if we notice that $M(0, \cdot) = 0$,

$$deg_{LS}(I - M(1, \cdot), B_\rho(0), 0) = deg_{LS}(I - M(0, \cdot), B_\rho(0), 0) = 1.$$

Hence there exists $u \in B_\rho(0)$ such that is a solution for (1.1). □

Using a proof similar to that of Theorem 4.2, we obtain the following existence result.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $f = f(t, x)$ be continuous. Assume that f satisfies the following conditions.*

1. *There exists $h \in C([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^+)$ such that*

$$\|f(t, x)\| \leq \langle f(t, x), x\rangle + h(t)$$

for all $(t, x) \in [0, 1] \times X$.

2. *f sends bounded sets into bounded sets.*

3. *For any a bounded set S in X,*

$$\alpha(f([0,1]\times S)) \leq k_1\alpha(S), \ \ \textit{where} \ \ 0 < k_1 < 1/k.$$

Then problem (1.1) has at least one solution.

Proof. Let A be bounded in C^1. Applying Lemma 2.7 there exists $\tau \in [0,1]$ or $\omega \in [0,1]$ with

$$\alpha_1(M([0,1]\times A)) = \alpha(M([0,1]\times A)(\tau))$$

or

$$\alpha_1(M([0,1]\times A)) = \alpha((M([0,1]\times A))'(\omega)).$$

Proceeding as Theorem 4.2, we obtain in either case

$$\alpha_1(M([0,1]\times A)) \leq kk_1\alpha_1(A), \ \text{ where } \ kk_1 < 1.$$

Using the homotopy invariance of the degree for α-condensing maps, we obtain

$$deg_N(I - M(0,\cdot), B_\rho(0), 0) = deg_N(I, B_\rho(0), 0) = 1, \ \text{ where } \rho > L.$$

Then, from the existence property of degree, there exists $u \in B_\rho(0)$ such that $u = M(1,u)$, which is a solution for (1.1). □

The following corollary is concerned with the existence of one solution for (1.1).

Corollary 5.4. *Assume that $f = f(t,x)$ satisfies the following conditions.*

1. *Suppose that for any $\delta > 0$ the mapping $f : [0,1]\times X \to X$ is bounded and uniformly continuous in $[0,1]\times\overline{B_\delta(0)}$, where $\overline{B_\delta(0)} = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq \delta\}$.*

2. *There exists $h \in C([0,1],\mathbb{R}^+)$ such that*

$$\|f(t,x)\| \leq \langle f(t,x), x\rangle + h(t)$$

for all $(t,x) \in [0,1]\times X$.

3. *There exists a constant k_1 with $0 < k_1 < 1/k$ such that*

$$\|f(t,x) - f(t,y)\| \leq k_1\|x-y\|, \ \ \textit{for all} \ (t,x) \in [0,1]\times X.$$

Then problem (1.1) has at least one solution.

Proof. Let S be a bounded set in X. Let us consider

$$H = \{\psi_x : x \in S\}, \text{ where } \psi_x(t) = f(t,x) \text{ for all } t \in [0,1].$$

Cleary, $H \subset C$, H is bounded and equicontinuous. Thus, by using the conclusion of Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\alpha_c(H) = \alpha(H([0,1])) = \alpha(f([0,1] \times S)) = \max_{[0,1]} \alpha(\{f(t,x) : x \in S\}).$$

Using the assumption 3, we obtain

$$\alpha(f([0,1] \times S)) \leq k_1 \alpha(S).$$

By using the arguments of Theorem 5.3, we can obtain the conclusion of Corollary 5.4. □

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by CAPES and CNPq/Brazil.

References

[1] C. Bereanu and J. Mawhin, *Boundary-value problems with non-surjective φ-laplacian and one-sided bounded nonlinearity*, Advances Differential Equations. 11 (2006), 35-60.

[2] V. Bouches and J. Mawhin, *Boundary value problems for a class of first order quasilinear ordinary differential equations*, Portugal. Math. (N.S). 71 (2014), 217-247.

[3] J. Chandra, V. Lakshmikantham, and A. R. Mitchell, *Existence of solutions of boundary value problems for nonlinear second-order systems in a Banach space*, Nonlinear Analysis. 2 (1978), 157-168.

[4] K. Deimling, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Springer, Berlin, 1985.

[5] D. Guo, Y. Je Cho and J. Zhu, *Partial ordering metods in nonlinear problems*, Hauppauge, New York, 2004.

[6] D. J. Guo and V. Lakshmikantham, *Nonlinear Problems in Abstract cones, vol. 5 of Notes and Reports in Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, Academic Press, San Diego, Calif, 1988.

[7] R. Manásevich and J. Mawhin, *Periodic solutions for nonlinear systems with p-laplacian-like operators*, Differential Equations. 145 (1997), 367-393.

[8] H. Mönch, *Boundary value problems for nonlinear ordinary differential equations of second order in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis. 4 (1980), 985-999.

[9] S. Szufla, *Boundary value problems for nonlinear ordinary differential equations of second order in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis. 8 (1984), 1481-1487.

[10] Zhou, WX, Peng, j, *Existence of solution to a second-order boundary value problem via noncompactness measures*, Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc. Article ID 786404(2012). doi:10.1155/2012/786404.